## SOUTH ATLANTIC MOCK TRIAL TOURNAMENT

# Furman University

Greenville, SC

#### 1-2 February, 2008

### Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A. Regional

TEAM NUMBER / NAM	IE	ROUND 1	ROUND 2	ROUND 3	ROUND 4	SUMMARY
A-Duke	307	<b>Π v. E</b> W W +19 +12	<b>Δ v. G</b> W W +13 +19	Π v. L L L -6 -3	Δ v. B L L -13 -10	4-4
B-Furman	584	<b>Δ. v. O</b> W L +15 -4	<b>Π v. K</b> W W +2 +10	<b>Δ. v. N</b> W W +14 +9	<b>Π v. A</b> W W +13 +10	7-1
C-South Carolina	792	<b>Δ. v. Q</b> W W +4 +4	<b>Π v. F</b> L L -7 -13	<b>Δ. v. I</b> T W 0 +5	Π v. N L L -1 -2	3-4-1
D-Central Florida	870	<b>Π v. R</b> W W +8 +12	<b>Δ v. J</b> W W +7 +4	<b>Δ. v. F</b> W L +7 -12	<b>Π v. H</b> W T +4 0	6-1-1
E-Citadel	800	<b>Δ v. E</b> L L -19 -12	Π v. N L L -3 -23	<b>Π v. J</b> W L +2 -25	<b>Δ v. R</b> L W -11 +15	2-6
F-Georgia	827	<b>Π v. P</b> W W +30 +18	<b>Δ v. C</b> W W +13 +7	<b>Π v. D</b> W L +12 -7	<b>Δ v. L</b> W L +14 -3	6-2
G-Wake Forest	387	Δ v. K L L -7 -13	П v. A L L -13 -19	<b>Δ. v. P</b> L L -18 -22	<b>Π v. M</b> W L +1 -6	1-7
H-Duke	306	<b>Δ v. I</b> W W +23 +16	<b>Π v. L</b> L T -12 0	<b>Π v. O</b> W W +10 +2	Δ v. D T L 0 -4	4-2-2
I-Furman	587	П v. H L L -23 -16	<b>Δ v. M</b> W W +9 +4	Π v. C Τ L 0 -5	Δ v. K L L -15 -8	2-5-1
J-Wake Forest	386	<b>Δ v. N</b> W L +11 -1	П v. D L L -7 -4	<b>Δ. v. E</b> L W -2 +25	П v. Р L Т -15 0	2-5-1
K-North Carolina	526	<b>Π v. G</b> W W +13 +7	<b>Δ v. B</b> L L -2 -10	<b>Δ. v. Q</b> W W +20 +21	<b>Π v. I</b> W W +15 +8	6-2

TABULATION ROOM SUMMARY

TEAM NUMBER / NAME		ROUND 1	ROUND 2	ROUND 3	ROUND 4	SUMMARY
L- Tennessee	440	<b>Π v. M</b> W W +15 +19	<b>Δ v. H</b> W T +12 0		<b>Π v. F</b> L W -14 +3	6-1-1
M-Kennesaw	833	Δ v. L L L -15 -19	П v. I L L -9 -4	<b>Π v. R</b> W W +5 +2	<b>Δ v. G</b> L W -1 +6	3-5
N-North Carolina	529	<b>Π v. J</b> L W -11 +1	$\begin{array}{c} \Delta \text{ v. E} \\ W W \\ +3 +23 \end{array}$	П v. В L L -9 -14	<b>Δ v. C</b> W W +1 +2	5-3
O-Georgia	826	<b>Π v. B</b> L W -15 +4	<b>Δ v. R</b> W W +40 +1	<b>Δ v. H</b> L L -10 -2	$   \begin{array}{c}     \Pi \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{Q} \\     W W \\     +3 +5   \end{array} $	5-3
P-Davidson	446	<b>Δ v. F</b> L L -30 -18	П v. Q L L -7 -6	<b>Π v. G</b> W W +18 +22	<b>Δ v. J</b> W T +15 0	3-4-1
Q-Tennessee	441	<b>Π v. C</b> L L -4 -4	<b>Δ v. P</b> W W +7 +6	П v. К L L -20 -21	Δ v. O L L -3 -5	2-6
R-Clayton State	300	Δ v. D L L -8 -12	П v. О L L -40 -1	<b>Δ. v. M</b> L L -5 -2	<b>Π v. E</b> W L +11 -15	1-7

#### **OUTSTANDING TRIAL TEAM AWARDS (those receiving trophies)**

- 1. 7-1 Team B, 584, Furman
- 2. 6-1-1, CS= 18 Team L, 440, University of Tennessee,
- 3. 6-1-1, CS= 14.5 Team D, 870, Central Florida
- 4. 6-2, CS= 20 Team F, 827, University of Georgia
- 5. 6-2, CS= 12.5 Team K, 526, University of North Carolina

Bids to the National Championship Tournament, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 4-6 April 2008

7-1 Team B, 584, Furman

6-1-1, CS=18, Team L, 440, University of Tennessee

Bids to the National Tournament, Memphis, Tennessee, 14-16 March 2008

6-1-1, CS= 14.5 Team D, 870, Central Florida

6-2, CS= 20 Team F, 827, University of Georgia

6-2, CS= 12.5 Team K, 526, University of North Carolina

- 3-4-1, CS=15.5, Team C, University of South Carolina
- 3-4-1, CS= 11.5, Team P, Davidson
- 3-5, CS= 11, Team M, Kennesaw State University

**Note from the Tabulation Director:** North Carolina (N 529), Georgia (O 826), Duke (H 306), and Duke (A 307) would have all earned bids to the National Tournament if not for the two-team per program cap on postseason bids. Duke received two bids from the "Greenville II" Tournament because that tournament was assigned PPP credit for Duke's A & B teams. (All teams that competed in the prior year's postseason carry PPP credit.) Because Georgia and North Carolina only had one team each with PPP credit, the first bid for both came from The Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A. regional. By rule, the second bid for both came from the Greenville II Tournament (even though their second teams in the HSB Tournament had better records than their Greenville II counterparts.) Although the rules dictate which bids are "live" the institution gets to select which students/teams use the postseason bid.

#### **SPIRIT OF AMTA**

Team Q, 441, University of Tennessee, 27

#### ALL-REGION ATTORNEYS

20A, Team H, 306, Duke University, Mike Rosenberg

19Δ and П,Team B, 584, Furman University, Ben Adams

18П, Team K, 526 University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Palmer Heenen

17Π, Team A, 307, Duke University, Ben Dean
17Δ, Team O, 826, University of Georgia, Andrei Ionescu
17Δ, Team J, 386, Wake Forest University, Joe LaBau
17Δ, Team I, 587, Furman University, Christopher Mills
17Π, Team B, 584, Furman University, Mike Rafi
17Π, Team C, 792, University of South Carolina, Amanda Kay Seals
17Δ, Team D, 870, University of Central Florida, Amanda Wright

#### ALL-REGION WITNESSES

20A, Team L, 440, University of Tennessee, Jacob Wilson

19П, Team M, 833, Kennesaw State University, Dale Sobel

19П, Team K, 526, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, Will Schultz

18 $\Delta$ , Team J, 386, Wake Forest University, Christine Holcombe 18 $\Pi$ , Team D, University of Central Florida, Marcus Rein

17П, Team F, 827, University of Georgia, Shayda Frost 17П, Team F, 827, University of Georgia, Cherish Pate

16Δ, Team E, 800, The Citadel, Brian Burnley
16Δ, Team H, 306, Duke, Victoria Bright
16Π, Team C, 792, University of South Carolina, Jeremy Clare
16Δ, Team B, 584, Furman, Meredith Dempsey
16Π, Team H, 306, Duke, Alex Gorin
16Δ, Team N, 529, University of North Carolina, Anu Maden
16Π, Team P, 446, Davidson, David Palko

### Haynsworth Sinkler Boyd, P.A. Regional

Duke	Η
Duke	Α
Wake Forest	J
Wake Forest	G
Tennessee	L
Tennessee	Q
Davidson	Р
Davidson	R
North Carolina	K
North Carolina	Ν
Furman	В
Furman	Ι
South Carolina	С
Citadel	Ε
Georgia	0
Georgia	F
Kennesaw	Μ
<b>Central Florida</b>	D
	Duke Wake Forest Wake Forest Tennessee Tennessee Davidson Davidson North Carolina North Carolina Furman Furman South Carolina Citadel Georgia Georgia Kennesaw

